# **Volumes of Activation for the Linkage Isomerization Reaction of Thiocyanatopentaamminecobalt(II1) Ion in Aqueous Solution and in Dimethylsulphoxide**

### D. A. PALMER, R. VAN ELDIK\* and H. KELM\*\*

*Institute for Physical Chemistry, University of Frankfurt, Robert-Mayer Str. I I, D-6000-Frankfurt am Main-l, BDR*  Received January 13,1978

*Pressure and temperature dependencies of the*  ressure and *iemperature* dependencies of the *ii unitage isomenzation of Correlation* and agueous solution yielded the activation para-<br>and the third part of the solution of the third the solution of the third the third the third the third the th  $35.27 - 102.0 \pm 0.0$  M mol  $\frac{3}{2} - 14 =$ <br> $35.2^{\circ}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  *simul*,  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\$  $mu$  study in Dinso resulted in the joilowing  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  all  $\mu$  is  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  is  $\mu$ .  $\mu$  is  $\mu$  is  $\mu$  is  $\mu$  in  $\mu$ .  $\mu$  is  $\mu$  i  $T_A$  *These index*  $T_{exp}$  *= -1.0*  $\pm$  *1.2 cm more*. These values emphasize that the rearrangements are intramolecular. They exclude the possibility that an *the reactions proceed* via *a labile intermediate in which contribute the cobalt is bound to the filled a filled a filled a* filled  $\alpha$  *filled a* filled  $\alpha$  *filled*  $\alpha$  *filled a* filled  $\alpha$  *filled*  $\alpha$  *filled*  $\alpha$  *filled*  $\alpha$  *filled*  $\alpha$  *filled*  $\alpha$  *filled riich* cova

## **Introduction**

**This** paper represents a continuation of studies In paper represents a communition or studies  $[1-4]$  made in this laboratory devoted to the effects of pressure (0-2 kbar) on reaction rates of coordination compounds with the aim of establishing the volume of activation,  $\Delta V_{\text{exp}}^*$ , as an additional tool in the diagnosis of the mechanisms involved.

A recent investigation [5] of the effect of pressure<br>on the linkage isomerization of the nitrito ligand in  $M_{\text{N}}$  in the mixage isometrization of the intrition igain in where  $M = C(11)$ ,  $R_1(111)$ ,  $R_2(111)$ ,  $R_3(111)$ , confirmed that  $C_1$ where  $M = Co(III)$ , Rh(III) and Ir(III), confirmed that these reactions are intramolecular in nature. Earlier ligand exchange experiments  $[6]$  involving the  $Co(III)$  complex had shown that no exchange occurred between free  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  and coordinated -ONO within the time range required for complete isomerization, clearly showing that the isomerization mechanism could not be intermolecular. In addition, measurements of the partial molar volumes of both isomers [5] allowed the construction of a volume profile diagram which gave further insight into the configuration or the transition state.  $T_{\text{S}}$  ration of the transition state.

 $\frac{1}{2}$  in a finite measurement of  $\frac{1}{2}$  in a solution. The solution. The solution. has been measured [7] in aqueous solution. The absence of thiocyanate exchange  $(\leq 2\%)$  proves that

isomerization does not involve the dissociation of  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  on  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$  ligand as involving either a labile intermediate int SCN ligand as involving either a labile intermediate in which cobalt is bound to both  $N$  and  $S$ , or an "intimate ion-pair", similar in form to that proposed by Sutin and coworkers [8, 9] for the hydrolysis of  $Cr(SCN)(aq)^{2^+}$  and, more recently [10], for the linkage isomerization of  $Cr(SCN)(NCS)(aq)^{+}$ . As increasing the ionic strength did not lead to an increase in the amount of SCN<sup>-</sup> liberated during isomerization, the former mechanism was favoured  $[7]$ . By using protic and aprotic solvents, together with high pressure kinetics, we hoped to be able to<br>further differentiate between the possible mechanisms.

### **Experimental**

#### *Materials*

 $\frac{1}{2}$ (NHS) control telessized and the synthesized and the  $\lceil$ CO( $\lceil$ 113) $\lceil$ SOCI $\lceil$ CI $\lceil$  1.511 $\lceil$ O was synthesized and then separated from the isothiocyanato-isomer by ion exchange chromatography, followed by repeated  $t$ recrystallization  $[7, 11]$ . However the recrystallization procedure to remove the less soluble N-bonded isomer is tedious and also results in a considerable loss of product. This method was therefore modified by adding perchloric acid to the concentrated mother liquor obtained from the ion-exchange column. The ice-cold mixture was then filtered. The resulting crystals were stirred in a minimum amount of methanol and the residue filtered off. The perchlorate salt of the thiocyanato complex dissolves in methanol, whereas the isothiocyanato complex and traces of the parent aquo complex, do not. Cooling the solution to  $ca. -30$  °C produced fine violet needles of  $[Co(NH_3)_5SCN] (CO_4)_2$ . These were recrystallized once from methanol, washed with ether and vacuum dried. The purification was not with concern the purification was determined with the purification id vacuum dried. The purified compound was  $\frac{1}{2}$ (e) a) 2.044  $\frac{1}{2}$  c, 3.044  $\frac{1}{2}$  (0)  $\frac{1}{2}$ SCN](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>: C, 3.0; H, 3.8; N, 20.9; S, 8.0. Found: C, 2.7; H, 3.5; N, 20.3; S, 7.8%.  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_8$ ,  $T_9$ 

The composition of  $\text{CO}(N\text{H}_3)$ <sub>5</sub>PC<sub>2</sub>( $\text{CO}(N\text{H}_3)$ [12],  $[Co(NH_3)_5OH_2] (CO_4)_3$  [13] and  $[Co(NH_3)_5$ .  $DMSO(CIO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O$  [14] were also confirmed by microanalysis [15]. The characterization and purity

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  . On leave from the Department of Chemistry,  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ "On leave from the Department of Chemistr stroom University, Potchefstroom, South Africa.<br>\*\*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

of these complexes were checked by comparing their visible spectra, and in the case of the linkage isomers, their infrared spectra, with those reported in the literature [7,14,16].

The remaining materials used in this work were of reagent grade. The solvent water was passed through an ion-exchange column, then doubly distilled. DMSO (Merck,  $\langle 0.2\% \text{ H}_2 \text{O} \rangle$  was vacuum distilled from 4A molecular sieves [17].

#### *Kinetic Measurements*

All runs were monitored spectrophotometrically at 290 nm. A conventional sampling technique was used to follow the rate of isomerization in aqueous solution. The corresponding high pressure equipment has been described elsewhere [18]. Kinetic runs in DMSO were carried out "in situ" in a thermostatted high pressure cell [19] seated in a Zeiss DMR 10 spectrophotometer. The temperature was always controlled to within  $\pm 0.05$  °C.

The reactions in aqueous solution were conducted at a hydrogen ion concentration of  $10^{-3}$  *M* (HClO<sub>4</sub>) and a complex concentration of  $5 \times 10^{-5}$  *M*, while the overall ionic strength was maintained at 0.1 *M*  using NaC104. For the reactions in DMSO no acid was added, although the same complex concentration and ionic strength  $(KClO<sub>4</sub>)$  were maintained.

In preliminary runs, in which the entire UV and visible spectrum was scanned, isosbestic points were observed during the first two half-lives at 548, 423 and 388 nm which correspond to a mixture of the two isomers. However at longer reaction times a slight shift *(ca.* 2 nm) in the isosbestic points was observed with the result that the final spectrum *(i.e.*  taken after ten half-lives) did not correspond to pure  $Co(NH_3)$ <sub>s</sub>NCS<sup>2+</sup>. This observation is ascribed to the partial formation of solvolysis products,  $Co(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>$ .  $OH<sub>2</sub><sup>3+</sup>$  and  $Co(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub> DMSO<sup>3+</sup>$ , depending on the solvent.

The observed first-order rate constants,  $k_{obs}$ , were obtained from the usual  $ln(A_t - A_s)$  *versus* time plots, where  $A_t$  and  $A_{\infty}$  represent the respective absorbancies measured at time t and of the isothiocyanato species calculated on the assumption that the reaction goes to completion. These plots were generally linear for at least two half-lives, further indicating the absence of side reactions during this time. The  $k_{obs}$  values, given in Table I as a function of temperature and pressure, represent the average of two individual runs. The activation parameters were calculated in the usual way.

#### *Density Measurements*

A digital instrument (DMA 02, Anton Paar K.G.) was used to determine the apparent molar volume,  $\phi_i$ , of the linkage isomers in water and DMSO. The temperature was regulated to within  $\pm 0.001$  °C. The average densities of the respective solvents were  $T$ ADLE I. Observed THSCORDER Rate Constants for



aMean error of *ca.* 3%.

0.997094 and 1.095207 g cm<sup>-3</sup> at 25 °C. Complex concentrations were investigated in the range 6.3 to  $14.7 \times 10^{-3}$  *M.* As no detectable dependence of the  $\phi_i$ values on concentration was found, the partial molar volumes of the complexes were assumed to be equal to the mean  $\phi_i$  value under these conditions. A similar assumption was made in previous work [4].

# **Results**

An extrapolation of the  $k_{obs}$  values in Table I gives rise to a rate constant at 25 °C of 1.11  $\times$  10<sup>-6</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> in aqueous solution, which is in reasonable agreement with the reported value [7] of 8.0  $\times$  10<sup>-7</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C and  $\mu$  = 1.1 *M*.

No curvature of the lnk<sub>obs</sub> versus pressure plots could be detected within the experimental error limits. The rate constants,  $\Delta H^{\dagger}$ ,  $\Delta S^{\dagger}$  and  $\Delta V_{\text{exp}}^{\dagger}$ values are given in Table II.

The partial molar volumes of the complex cations in partial molal volumes of the complex cations assumption  $[20]$  that  $\overline{v}$  (H<sup>+</sup>) = -4.5 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. Although the separation of the partial molar volume into its ionic components is more difficult in nonaqueous solvents, Parker *et al.* [21] have proposed that the molar volume change on transfer of  $Ph<sub>4</sub>As<sup>+</sup>$ from a reference solvent  $(H<sub>2</sub>O)$  to a given solvent

Solvent	$\frac{10^5 \text{ k}_{\text{obs}}}{\text{sec}^{-1}}$	$\Delta H^+$ $kJ$ mol $^{-1}$	$\Delta S^*$ $J K^{-1}$ mol <sup>-1</sup>	$\frac{\Delta V_{exp}^{+}}{cm^{3} mol^{-1}}$
H <sub>2</sub> O	$3.06 \pm 0.08$	$102.8 \pm 0.8$	$-14 \pm 3$	$-5.3 \pm 0.8$
<b>DMSO</b>	$7.6 \pm 0.4$	$105 \pm 2$	$-2 \pm 4$	$-1.0 \pm 1.2$

TABLE II. Activation Parameters for the Linkage Isomerization of Co(NH<sub>3</sub>) $_{5}$ SCN<sup>2+</sup> at  $\mu$  = 0.1 *M* at 50 °C.

TABLE III. Partial Molar Volumes of the Linkage Isomers at  $25 \, \text{°C}^{\text{B}}$ 

Solvent	H <sub>2</sub> O	<b>DMSO</b>
Complex Species	$\vec{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{o}}$ $cm3$ mol <sup>-1</sup>	
$[Co(NH3)5SCN] (ClO4)2$	$194.0 \pm 1.0$	$194.0 \pm 2.3$
$Co(NH3)5SCN2+$	92.6	92.6
$[Co(NH_3)_{5}NCS]$ (ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	$193.5 \pm 0.7$	$192.4 \pm 1.5$
$Co(NH3)5 NCS2+$	92.1	91.0

aNo attempt was made to adjust the ionic strength of these <sup>a</sup>No attempt was made to adjust the ionic strength of these solutions.

should equal the molar volume change on transfer I the  $Ph_4B$  anion. They found that for  $H_2O$  to  $\delta$ MSO,  $\Delta V_{TR}$  (Ph<sub>4</sub>B) = 0. A similar  $\Delta V_{TR}$  could be envisaged for other large monovalent anions, such as  $ClO<sub>4</sub>$ . Thus  $\overline{V}^{\circ}$  (ClO<sub>4</sub>) would be equal in DMSO and  $H_2O$ , *i.e.* 50.7 cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> [4]. The complete volume data are summarized in Table III. It should be noted that the last assumption does not affect the interpretation of the kinetic data.

In keeping with our previous approach  $[4, 5]$  to such systems, and in conjunction with the generally accepted fact that aquation reactions of cationic  $\text{cobalt(III)}$  complexes are dissociative in character [4,  $12, 22$ ], the volume data can initially be treated within the framework of a dissociative mechanism. It has been established  $[4]$  that in aqueous solution  $\overline{V}^{\circ}$  [Co(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sup>3+</sup>}  $\cong \overline{V}^{\circ}$  {Co(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sup>3+</sup>} = 55.1 ± 1.1 cm<sup>3</sup>  $mol^{-1}$ . Thus from previous studies [4, 5], the following equation can be formulated [25].

$$
\Delta V_{\text{DIS}}^{\dagger} = \overline{V}^{\text{o}} \{ \text{Co(NH}_{3})_{3}^{3+} \} + \overline{V}^{\text{o}} \{ \text{SCN}^{-} \} - \overline{V}^{\text{o}} \{ \text{Co(NH}_{3})_{5} \text{SCN}^{2+} \}
$$

$$
= 55.1 + 40.2 - 92.6 =
$$

 $= +2.7 \pm 1.8$  cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>

This value is incompatible with the measured AVLp nis value is incompatible with the measured  $\Delta v_{\rm exp}$ value of  $-5.3 \pm 0.8$  cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> and demonstrates that a dissociative-type mechanism does not prevail. This conclusion merely substantiates the existing  $14NCS$  exchange data [7].  $T_{\text{range}}$  data  $\left[1\right]$ .

The type of intramolecular realitangement associated with the linkage isomerization of  $Co(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>$ -ONO<sup>2+</sup> was not thought [5] to involve significant changes in electrostriction. The experimental  $\Delta V_{\text{exp}}^{\text{in}}$ ranges in electrostriction. The experimental  $\rightarrow$  exp  $1 - 0.7 \pm 0.4$  cm mot therefore results from changes in bond lengths and angles during the formation of the transition state. As the overall volume change of this reaction is  $-12.9 \pm 1.5$  cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>, virtually twice  $\Delta V_{\text{exp}}^*$ , the transition state must lie in the center of the volume profile. This is consistent with a synchronous rearrangement of the relatively "flexible"  $NO<sub>2</sub>$  group. The S-bonded thiocyanate complex is believed  $\begin{bmatrix} 7 \end{bmatrix}$  to have a bond angle around the sulphur atom of close to 90°, such that at least  $n_e$  suiphur atom of close to  $\sigma$ , such that at least art of the volume decrease can be interpreted in a similar manner  $[6, 24, 25]$ . For the overall volume change of reaction this decrease is virtually compensated for by an increase in volume during the formation of the linearly bound isothiocyanate complex. Thus the  $\Delta \nabla$  value, calculated from the partial molar volumes of the individual isomers (see Table III), is virtually zero, *i.e.*  $-0.5 \pm 1.7$  cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>.

A more fruitful approach is to compare these results with those obtained using a non-protic solvent such as DMSO. As can be seen from Table II, the rate constants,  $\Delta H^*$  and  $\Delta S^*$  values are very similar in both solvents. The slightly slower rate of isomerization in  $H_2O$ , stemming from tue somewhat more negative  $\Delta S^+$  value, may be the result of hydrogen bonding of  $H_2O$  molecules to the  $-SCN$  ligand. However, differences in  $\Delta S^{\dagger}$  of only 12  $\pm$  7 J K<sup>-1</sup>  $mol^{-1}$  allow no definite conclusions to be drawn. In addition, in terms of volume effects, the assumptions as to the value of  $\overline{V}^{\circ}(\text{ClO}_4)$  in DMSO notwithstanding, the data in Table III show that no detectable difference exists between the molar volumes of the corresponding isomers in  $H_2O$  and DMSO. This tends to indicate that H-bonding of these complexes in their ground state does not manifest itself in measurable increases in electrostriction in this case. On the other hand, the similarity of these para-

On the other hand, the similarity of these para-

not involve bond cleavage with the formation of an "intimate ion-pair" in the transition state. The existence of such a species would be associated with a large solvent effect with a substantially faster rate in DMSO due mainly to the lower solvation energy of anions in this solvent [26] and to the higher association constants found in DMSO compared to  $H<sub>2</sub>O$ [27]. Buckingham et *al.* [7] stated that the lack of a significant ionic strength effect on the amount of SCN<sup>-</sup> lost during isomerization was also not in accord with an "intimate ion-pair" mechanism.

More significant are the volumes of activation which clearly show that a difference in behaviour in the two solvents does exist. The values of  $\Delta V_{\text{exp}}^{\text{t}}$ primarily consist of two contributions [3]: an intrinsic part,  $\Delta V_{\text{intr}}^{\dagger}$ , due to changes in bond lengths and angles, and a solvation part,  $\Delta V_{\text{solv}}^*$ , resulting from changes in electrostriction. The experimental results appear more compatible with the formation of a labile intermediate in which the cobalt atom is bound to the thiocyanate ion through a filled  $\pi$ molecular orbital spread over the whole ligand, with possible supplementary back-bonding as proposed earlier [7]. Such a mechanism would be characterized by a small value of  $\Delta V_{\text{intr}}^{\dagger}$  due to bond deformation as mentioned earlier. The difference in  $\Delta V_{\text{exp}}^{\dagger}$  found between the two solvents must originate from differences in  $\Delta V_{\rm solv}^*$ . The partial separation of charges accompanying this mechanism would lead to an increase in interaction between the solvent and the reaction center in the *transition state* and should be more pronounced in protic solvents due to specific hydrogen bonding interactions. This accounts for the  $m_{\rm g}$  more negative  $\Delta V^{\dagger}$ , value found in aqueous solu- $\frac{1}{2}$ 

.<br>Thus, as postulated previously [7], the linkage  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is constant  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  (CIV)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  in solution, and possibly the thermally induced rearrangement in the solid state [7], and the base hydrolysis of this complex [7] involve a similar  $\pi$ -bonded transition state.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for their financial support and H. Zimmermann for initial samples of the thiocyanato complex. One of us (R.v.E.) acknowledges the support of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research.

# References

- 1 E. G. .Conze, H. Stieger and H. Kelm, *Chem. Be?., IO5,*  2 H. Stieger and H. Kehn, J. *Phys. Chem., 77, 290 2334 (1972).*
- 3 D. A. Palmer and H. Kehn, *Inorg. Chim. Acta., 19, 117 (1973).*
- 4 D. A. Palmer and H. Kehn, *Inorg.* Chem., 16, 3139 *(1976).*
- 5 M. Mares, D. A. Palmer and H. Kehn, Inorg. *Chim.*  (1977).
- 6 R. K. Murmann and H. Taube, J. *Am. ChemSoc., 78, Acru, 27, 153 (1978).*
- $7000(1700)$ ,  $1.1.1.6$  and  $1.1.6$ *4886 (1956).*
- 8 A. Haim and N. Sutin, J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 88, 434 Inorg. Chem.,* 9, 655 (1970).
- 9 *M.* Orhanovic and N. Sutin, J. Am. Chem. Sot., 90, *(1966).*
- 10 L. D. Brown and D. E. Pennington, Inorg. Chem., 10, 4. UHarovit<br>006 (1969).
- 11 .. D. DIOWII<br>117 (1071). W. Marty, private communication.
- $\frac{1}{2}$
- 13 A. B. Lamb and K. J. Mysels, J. *Am.* Chem. Sot., 67, w. Marty, private communication.<br>J. E. Jones. J. R. Carev and T. W. Swaddle. *Can. J. Chem., 50, 2739 (1973)*<br>*Leon., 50, 2739 (1973)*.
- 46 D. Lamo<br>66 (1045). 14 C. R. Piriz MacColl and L. Beyer, Inorg. *Chem., 12, 7*
- *(1973).*  15 Hoechst A. G., Analytical Laboratory, Frankfurt.
- 16 R. van Eldik and G. M. Harris, *Inorg. Chem., 14,* 10
- (, VAII).<br>1075). 17 W. A. Millen and D. W. Watts, J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 89,*
- *6858 (1967).*  18 D. A. Palmer and H. Kelm, *J. Inorg. Nucl.* Chem., 40,
- , д. ганнег<br>005 (1079). 19 F. K. Fleischmamr, E. G. Conze, D. R. Stranks and H.
- Kehn. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.. 45. 1427 (1974).*  20 F. J. Millero, Chem. *Rev.,* 71, 147 (L971):
- 
- 20 F. J. Millero, *Chem. Rev., 71*, 147 (1971).<br>21 M. J. Dack, K. J. Bird and A. J. Parker, *Aust. J. Chem.*, *28,955 (1975).*
- *22* T. W. Swaddle, *Coord. Chem. Rev., 14, 211(1974). 2* **1.** W. Swaddle, Coord. Chem. Rev., 14, 21/(1974).
- $m$  at  $m$  volumes of activation and the partial molar volumes were measured at different temperatures and ionic strengths, this is not expected to involve significant errors within the ranges studied here (see<br>reference 4).
- 24 F. Basolo and G. S. Hannaker, *Inorg. Chem., I,* 1 (1966).  $(1700)$ .<br> $(5.0 \text{ W/m}^2 + 450 \text{ A/m}^2)$  of Mechanism and Mechanism and Mechanism and Mechanism and Mechanism and Mechanism
- $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha$ , which  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  are  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$  are  $\alpha$ . of Reactions of Transition Metal Complexes", Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 340 (1974).
- 26 A. J. Parker, *Chem. Rev.*, 69, 1 (1969). 27 D. A. Palmer and D. W. Watts, *Inorg. Chem., IO, 281*
- *(1971).*